Changes to the environment are being made to encourage more people to walk or cycle. But why do some of these interventions work and others fall flat? The latest research suggests the answer may lie in asking not what works? but rather how does it work, and in what context?

Whether a particular intervention to get people walking or cycling shows success in one part of the world or country can influence whether it is tried elsewhere. Unfortunately the success may not be replicated because of a failure to consider why the original intervention may have worked.

To understand more about these mechanisms, CEDAR researchers conducted a systematic review of the international quantitative and qualitative research literature on what happens when new infrastructure is implemented. They found that it is important to distinguish between the surface form of the intervention and the underlying function it provides. For instance a new off-road cycling route might work because it reduces conflict between cyclists and motorists, or because it provides a more direct route for cycling to key destinations. The different mechanisms may affect which different groups of users are affected by the change.

The researchers identified three common ways that successful interventions helped to promote walking or cycling, and why it is important to consider the context in which interventions are made.

Three common ‘hows’ to promote walking and cycling

**Connectivity**
This might involve a new route (e.g. a bridge over a busy road) that makes a key destination accessible to pedestrians or cyclists for the first time. Or making an existing route more direct or more continuous (e.g. by avoiding intersections with traffic lights).

**Safety**
This might involve separating cyclists from motor vehicles. Or it could involve ensuring that off-road routes are well lit to reduce fear of crime at night.

**Experience**
This might involve creating a new route through a green space. Or it could involve providing a smoother and more comfortable surface for cycling.

Priorities for public health action

- When designing or evaluating new infrastructure, think about the need the intervention is addressing – such as improving safety or connectivity. Design the change to function properly to meet this need.
- When considering different forms of intervention – such as new bike lanes or hire schemes – think about how the social and physical context might change the way these forms will function in practice.
- Understanding how interventions work is as important as whether they work. Evaluating and sharing this learning is likely to increase the generalisability of successful interventions.
The power of context

Always consider the context in which a given intervention and mechanism for change are operating. The worked examples below show how the same sort of intervention could have different outcomes in different places.

**Different context, similar outcomes**

In some cases, supportive and unsupportive contexts can cause similar interventions to produce the same positive outcome, but through different mechanisms. In a supportive environment with good existing infrastructure, the main function of a new segregated cycle path may be to create faster connections, causing more people to cycle. In an unsupportive environment characterised by heavy traffic, on the other hand, improved safety may be the main function of the new cycle path – again encouraging more people to cycle.

**Same context, different outcomes**

Similar contexts can bring about different outcomes depending on who is affected by the change. In a supportive environment, a new segregated cycle path might predominantly improve the connectivity (and experience) of cycling for existing cyclists, rather than tipping the balance enough to attract new cyclists.

**No trigger**

Unsupportive contexts can mean that mechanisms of change are not triggered at all. A new cycle lane might be safer (or provide a better experience) but if it fails to link people’s homes with the key destinations they need to reach, it is unlikely to attract either new or existing cyclists.

---
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